

Planning Team Report

Proposal Title :	Bellevue Road rezoning a	and mir	numum lot size reduction	
Proposal Summary :	Proposal to rezone land at Bellevue Road, Mudgee from R2 Low Density Residential to R1 General Residential. Proposal to reduce minimum lot size from 2000m2 to 600m2			
PP Number :	PP_2013_MIDWR_001_00		Dop File No :	13/01671
oposal Details				
Date Planning Proposal Received :	09-Jan-2013		LGA covered :	Mid-Western Regional
Region :	Western		RPA :	Mid-Western Regional Council
State Electorate :	UPPER HUNTER		Section of the Act :	55 - Planning Proposal
LEP Type :	Spot Rezoning			
ocation Details				
Street : Be	ellevue Road			
Suburb : Mi	udgee Ci	ty:	Mid-Western	Postcode : 2850
Land Parcel :				
Street :				
Suburb :	Ci	ty :		Postcode
Land Parcel : Lo	ot 8 DP1096571; Lot 7 DP1096	6571; Lo	ot 8 DP842243; Lot 7 DP84	42243
DoP Planning Off	icer Contact Details			
Contact Name :	Daniel Wagner			
Contact Number :	0268412197			
Contact Email :	daniel.wagner@planning.n	isw.gov	/.au	
RPA Contact Deta	nils			
Contact Name :	Elizabeth Densley			
Contact Number :	0263782850			
Contact Email :	elizabeth.densley@midwestern.nsw.gov.au			
DoP Project Mana	ager Contact Details			
Contact Name :	Wayne Garnsey			
Contact Number :	0268412180			
Contact Email :	wayne.garnsey@planning.	nsw ac	w au	

Bellevue Road rezoning and minumum lot size reduction

Land Release Data				
Growth Centre :	N/A	Release Area Name :	N/A	
Regional / Sub Regional Strategy :	N/A	Consistent with Strategy :	N/A	
MDP Number :		Date of Release :		
Area of Release (Ha) :	6.06	Type of Release (eg Residential / Employment land) :	Residential	
No. of Lots :	36	No. of Dwellings (where relevant) :	0	
Gross Floor Area :	0	No of Jobs Created	0	
The NSW Government Lobbyists Code of Conduct has been complied with :	Yes			
If No, comment :				
Have there been meetings or communications with registered lobbyists? :	No			
If Yes, comment :				
Supporting notes				
Internal Supporting Notes :	Internal Supporting The proposal is to facilitate rezoning of land from R2 Low Density Residential to R1			
	The land is already zoned urban and used for living purposes			
External Supporting Notes :	NIL			
Adequacy Assessmen	t			
Statement of the ob	jectives - s55(2)(a)			
Is a statement of the ob	ejectives provided? Yes			
Comment :	the subject land than currently	Permissible under the provis Plan 2012. Development of the al development opposite the	ne subject site is intended to be site, including a potential	
Explanation of prov	isions provided - s55(2)(b)			
Is an explanation of provisions provided? Yes				
Comment :	To achieve the desired outcom	e, both the zone and minimu	m lot size provisions need to	

be amended, to ensure consistency with development outcomes of other residential development in the R1 General Residential zone immediately to the north of the site.

Bellevue Road rezoning and minumum lot size reduction				
Justification - s55 (2)(c)				
a) Has Council's strategy been agreed to by the Director General? Yes				
b) S.117 directions identified by RPA : 2.1 Environment Protection Zones				
 b) S. 117 directions identified by RPA : * May need the Director General's agre 	2.3 Heritage Conservation			
Is the Director General's agreement	required? No			
c) Consistent with Standard Instrument	(LEPs) Order 2006 : Yes			
d) Which SEPPs have the RPA identifie	ed? SEPP No 55—Remediation of Land SEPP (Infrastructure) 2007			
e) List any other matters that need to be considered :				
Have inconsistencies with items a), b) a	and d) being adequately justified? Yes			
If No, explain :				
Mapping Provided - s55(2)(d)				
Is mapping provided? Yes				
Comment :				
Community consultation - s55(2))(e)			
Has community consultation been prop	osed? Yes			
	ant planning authority has acknowledged that public exhibition of the is required. The department recommends the proposal be exhibited for 14			
Additional Director General's rec	quirements			
Are there any additional Director Gener	al's requirements? No			
If Yes, reasons :				
Overall adequacy of the proposal				
Does the proposal meet the adequacy criteria? Yes				
If No, comment :				
Proposal Assessment	그는 다. 그는 것은 것은 것은 것을 가지 않는 것을 가지 않는 것을 하는 것을 하는 것을 수 있다.			
Principal LEP:				
Due Date : Comments in relation The Mid Wes to Principal LEP :	tern Regional Local Environmental Plan 2012 was notified on 10 August 2012.			

Assessment (Criteria
--------------	----------

Need for planning proposal :	The planning proposal is required to achieve the objective of developing the site for residential uses at a greater density than currently permissible under the provisions of the Mid Western Regional LEP 2012 .
Consistency with strategic planning framework :	The Mid Western Comprehensive Land Use Strategy (endorsed by the Director General on 1 August 2011) identifies the subject site as low density residential in the Mudgee Town Structure Plan. The Mid Western Comprehensive Land Use Strategy outlines that land in the low density residential area should not be developed until such time as reticulated sewerage and water, and sealed road access is available.
	The planning proposal outlines that necessary services and infrastructure can be provided to the subject site and that short term delivery of residential land is critical to maintaining pace with population growth.
	The Mid Western Comprehensive Land Use Strategy outlines that growth should be prioritised to the south and south-west of the Mudgee town centre.
	This small deviation from the existing strategic planning framework in this instance is not considered to be detrimential, as the proposal will efficiently use land for residential purposes and adjoins land connected to the existing infrastructure networks.
	Discussions with Mid Western Regional Council have indicated an eagerness to prepare an urban release strategy (subject to funding). A strategy such as this would aid in the decision making process for determining these types of planning proposals, to ensure growth is logical and sequenced appropriately.
Environmental social economic impacts :	The subject site adjoins land identified as bushfire prone. The planning proposal has addressed concerns in relation to development near bushfire prone areas, such as provision of access and infrastructure for fire fighting. Development stage design will consider these requirements.
	No other environmental, social or economic impacts have been identified as impediments to the proposed rezoning.
Assessment Proces	SS

Proposal type :	Routine		Community Consultation Period :	14 Days
Timeframe to make LEP :	6 Month		Delegation :	
Public Authority Consultation - 56(2)(d)				
Is Public Hearing by the PAC required?		No		
(2)(a) Should the matter proceed ?		Yes		
If no, provide reasons :				
Resubmission - s56(2)(b): No			
If Yes, reasons :				

Bellevue Road rezoning	ı and minumum lot size re	duction		
Identify any additional studies, if required.				
If Other, provide reasons	If Other, provide reasons :			
Identify any internal cons	ultations, if required :			
No internal consultation	required			
Is the provision and fundi	ng of state infrastructure relevar	it to this plan? No		
If Yes, reasons :				
Documents				
Document File Name		DocumentType Name	ls Public	
7 Jan 13 Council reques	t for PP.pdf	Proposal Covering Letter	Yes	
Bellevue Road Council	-	Proposal	Yes	
Bellevue Road Planning	Proposal.pdf	Proposal	Yes	
Ianning Team Recommendation Preparation of the planning proposal supported at this stage : Recommended with Conditions S.117 directions: 2.1 Environment Protection Zones 2.3 Heritage Conservation 2.4 Recreation Vehicle Areas 3.1 Residential Zones				
	 3.2 Caravan Parks and Manu 3.3 Home Occupations 3.4 Integrating Land Use and 4.4 Planning for Bushfire Pro 6.1 Approval and Referral Re 6.2 Reserving Land for Publi 6.3 Site Specific Provisions 	Transport otection quirements		
Additional Information :	That community consultation be undertaken for a minimum of 14 days That the planning proposal be finalised in 6 months			
Supporting Reasons : The subject land is urban and used for living purposes. It is a small deviation from the endorsed strategy but is a logical extension to the general residential zone and will provide additional residential opportunities in the short term				
Signature:	Wyansey Wayne Gavnee	1		
Printed Name:		Date:		